

Open Access

Caenorhabditis elegans (C. elegans) Used as a Whole Model Organism to Identify New Anti-Infectives Therapeutic Agent for MRSA Pathogens - A Review Paper

Khanh ND*

Faculty of Food, Environment and Nurse, Dong Nai Technology University, Vietnam

***Corresponding author:** Khanh ND, Faculty of Food, Environment and Nurse, Dong Nai Technology University, Nguyen Khuyen Street, Trang Dai Ward, Bien Hoa City, Dong Nai, Vietnam, Tel: 0084913650604, E-mail: nguyendikhanh@dntu.edu.vn

Citation: Khanh ND (2018) *Caenorhabditis elegans (C. elegans)* Used as a Whole Model Organism to Identify New Anti-Infectives Therapeutic Agent for MRSA Pathogens - A Review Paper. J Microbiol Modern Tech 3(1): 105

Received Date: April 20, 2018 Accepted Date: May 29, 2018 Published Date: May 30, 2018

Abstract

Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) is one of the greatest fears with the number of serious infections on human health regarding antibiotic resistance. It causes a wide range of infections and bacteremia, ranging from inconsequential superficial skin infections, wound suppuration, even pneumonia or deep-seated tissue infections, which may lead to sepsis and fatalities. The identification of alternative therapeutic compounds has become paramount since there are growing concerns over the extent of antibiotic-resistant pathogenic strains as nowadays antibiotic uses to treat pathogen infections are no longer the golden choices. *C. elegan swith C. elegan -S. aureus* host-pathogen screening platform have been effectively used to screen and identify small molecules as potential anti-virulence agent(s) towards antibiotic-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (*S. aureus*) as well as to dissect the mechanism of action of the selected molecule(s) as anti-virulence agent(s). The use of this kind of worm with liquid-based assay can be potentially used to simultaneously identify compounds that target host defense as well as bacterial virulence.

Keywords: Caenorhabditis elegans; C. elegans; Liquid-based assay; Anti-infective agents; MRSA infection treatment

Introduction

Nowadays, the chronic infections leading to serious health problems, caused by anti-biotic resistant bacteria strains, need longterm and complex expansive interventive treatments [1,2]. The clinical infections related to antibiotic resistance have recently been reported increasing not only in the community, but also in live-stock animals [3,4]. The use of antibiotic gradually becomes less useful and less effective now as the morbidity and mortality in human health and animals caused by antibiotic resistance bacteria strains has dramatically increasing with various alarming for several years. The uncontrolled use of antibiotics has led to widespread antibiotic resistance [5]. Each year the number of mortality due to antibiotic resistance in Europe is approximately 25,000 and USA with 22,000 deaths and more than 12,500 deaths in French (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - CDC) [6]. Human health needs to be improved and protected from infections caused by anti-resistant bacterial strains, so managing and reducing such infections should be carried out as quickly as possible. So far, the priority has been giving to the consideration in finding potential anti-biotic resource to combat the emergence of antibiotic resistance. However, recently it becomes less considerable when the term "anti-infective therapeutic agents" nowadays is turning to new era for human to have neutralized-bacteria healthy life.

There are bacteria strains showing high resistances to antibiotic include vancomycin-resistant enterococci, carbapenem-resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii*, 3GC resistant *K. Pneumoniae*, and MRSA. Among those medically-important pathogens, MRSA is one of the greatest fears with the number of serious infections on human health regarding antibiotic resistance. It causes a wide range of infections and bacteremia, ranging from inconsequential superficial skin infections, wound suppuration, even pneumonia or deep-seated tissue infections, which may lead to sepsis and fatalities.

Researchers are on the way to find out an ideal antibiotic in the struggle against resistant-pathogenic bacteria. However, there has no such antibiotic available officially and commercially yet. Available treatments for MRSA infections have been list of antibiotic such as β -Lactam antibiotics, tetracyclines, glycopeptides, macrolides, lipopeptide, aminoglycosides, oxazolidinones, quinolones... [7-9]. The discovery challenges and path forward in finding a novel antibacterial agent which has strongly aptitude to inhibit pathogenic bacteria in almost sites of infection, harmless to the beneficial gut flora and without any undue toxicity to the host. Initially, penicillin was first discovered in 1928, later followed by several highly effective antibiotics. They have been using with usage of drugs as treatment for many bacterial infections. Some of them show wide range of effects in term of dealing with both gram-positive and gram-negative bacteria strains, while some just has effect only one strain [9].

The identification of alternative therapeutic compounds has become paramount since there are growing concerns over the extent of antibiotic-resistant pathogenic strains [10]. This new trend focuses in research for molecules that target the bacterial virulence rather than the bacterial growth. Ideally, new therapeutic paradigms, which can be alternative for conventional antibiotics with great promise, rely on anti-virulence compounds. They have characteristics in selectively disrupting virulence-mediated pathways such as biofilm formation or bacterial quorum sensing. Currently, among different strategies applied for identifying new anti-infectives using whole model organism infection model, *C. elegans– S. aureus* liquid-based assay has been showing as a highly effective approach [5,11-13]. From that, various conserved innate immune signaling pathways have been disclosed. The use of this kind of worm can be potentially used to simultaneously identify compounds that target host defense as well as bacterial virulence [5,14-16].

MRSA is Medically-Important Pathogen

Introduction

From CDC defined criteria, MRSAs are normally classified into community-associated (CA)-MRSA and healthcare-associated (HA)-MRSA and livestock-associated (LS)-MRSA [3,6]. Both CA- and HA-MRSA have geographically wide distributions, therefore worldwide prevalence of MRSA is high [4,7]. They cause several difficult-to-treat infections in human and live-stock animals [3,4]. Consequence by MRSA infections is abundant in both rich and poor countries around the world [17]. Various difficult-to-combat infections caused by this bacterium threaten human life. However, antibiotic uses, which have been mainly used to treat MRSA infections, are no longer the golden choices for those kinds of infections [18]. They act by disrupting the growth cycle of the targeted bacteria strains which causing both nosocomial and community-acquired infections. Result, they might block the synthesis of RNA, protein and other components of bacterial cellular processes. Although these traditional strategies that target cellular viability have proven to be highly effective, they result in substantial stress on the target bacteria, which rapidly selects for resistant sub-populations, leading to resistance towards the antibiotics arsenal [18-20].

MRSA is a developed strain of *S. aureus* through natural selection and more important under horizontal gene transfer of the *mecA* gene to at least five distinct *S. aureus* lineages. It is multi-resistant to β -lactam antibiotics, which include cephalosporins and penicillins (dicloxacillin, nafcillin, methicillin, oxacillin, etc.,). MRSA shows resistance to β -lactam antibiotics because of the expression of *mecA* gen, which encodes the modified penicillin binding proteins, on staphylococcal cassette chromosomes (SCCmec) [21,22]. There are other expressions of other genes encoding resistance to macrolides or aminoglycosides that can be found on SCCsmec. It consequently leads to limitations in application of antimicrobial therapeutic treatments to those pathogenic bacteria strains [3,22].

S. aureus is well-studied for their ability in acquiring resistance towards antibiotics as epitomized by MRSA and VRSA. The emergence of new and variant *S. aureus* strains exemplifies the spread of antibiotic resistance. The indiscriminate use of antibiotics, in addition, also reduces the number of beneficial bacteria in the intestinal tract in the host; impairing the host's immunity therefore the host is susceptible to infections by commensal microorganisms. It is urgent nowadays having a breakthrough for discovery of innovative drugs alternative for antibiotics in combating bacterial infections and impeding the development of antibiotics resistance from bacterial strains.

According to Global antibiotic resistance partnership (GARP) report in 2015, the general situation worldwide on the issue of antibiotic resistance differ country to country and resulted from disease patterns and the use of antibiotics. To be more specific, there is an increase in MRSA infections in India (47%), Latin America, Australia, sub-Saharan (90%). While recently in other countries such as US, Canada, South Africa and European countries, there is a decline in MRSA infections [4,7,23].

The incubation of an individual (both healthy and with compromised immune system) to MRSA infections ranges from a few weeks to many years. When got the infections, initial typical symptoms will progress quickly in the next period of 24 to 48 hours [19]. Living habitats easy to have the emergences of MRSA are hospital, prison, nursing house, school and the bacteria can emerge when people live there contact with contaminated objects such as door handles, floor, dressing, sheet, towel, surface, especially when they have open wounds and weak immune system. As compared to HA-MRSA, CA-MRSA strains show more severe pathogenicity. They not only cause simple surface skin infection but also affect tissues; vital organs even lead to a situation of sepsis, toxic shock and pneumonia [19,22].

LA-MRSA infections are typically transmitted to human from production animals (primarily pigs, then poultry and cattle). This bacteria strain, CC398, is a new variant of MRSA and recently has increased in livestock animals. Interestingly, it is harmless or not shows disease manifestation in food-producing animals but it is severe dangerous to human [3]. A study conducted in 2014 by

Mehndiratta and Bhalla showed that CC398 infection occupy more than ¼ in total of MRSA infections in some areas of our planet. Especially, this kind of infection has been found more potentially in group of people working on poultry and cattle industry. MRSA originally has been found in human and then transmitted to animal (livestock and poultry) [24,25]. Interestingly, a contrary result from a single study conducted in Denmark revealed that MRSA infection in livestock and spread to humans [22]. This is credible and is not unfounded because in 2011, a statistic showed that 47% of the meat sold in supermarkets and grocery in US has been contaminated with MRSA. A later analysis was progressed and finally revealed that about 24-52% of these infected *S. aureus* strains were resistant to some common used antibiotics [26]. There were also other studies conducted in UK and Japan with similar results, MRSA can be found in sold meat products which are pathogenic to consumers [27,28].

Mechanism of MRSA Resistance to Antimicrobial Agents

Normally, bacterial growth inside the hosts will be inhibited in the presence of penicillin-like antibiotics, which interrupt the synthesis of cell wall materials. However, in case of MRSA infections the bacteria still show their ability to flourish even when treated by such antibiotics [20]. To do so, they have special weapon include *mecA* and other resistance genes which prevent the activation of the enzyme involved in cell wall synthesis, known as trans-peptidase, despite the destruction from antibiotics [18,20]. Genetically, the resistance genes are involved in extra-chromosomal genetic elements such as plasmids, genomic islands and other genetic elements. The *mecA* gene is embedded in chromosome, and not coded in plasmid like other β -lactamase genes. Such coding occurs in a genomic island called SCCmec, which shorted for Staphylococcal Chromosome Cassette *mec*. When MRSA strain acquires this foreign DNA, SCCmec, it can become resistant to methicillin and other antibiotics [20].

There were six types of SSCmec in size of 21 to 67 kb, which containing several resistant genes, have been identified. At least five clones are responsible for the emergence and development of MRSA infections [20]. According to Jensen finding in 2009, different *mec* and *ccr* gene they designate into type I-VI of SCCmec. They are type number I, II, III and VIII which normally contain resistant gene can be found in HA-MRSA bacteria [29-31]. In contrast, type IV and V of SCCmec, which do not contain any resistant gene, are normally isolated from CA-MRSA strains [32]. This is resulted from distinction in which SCCmec plasmid they carry. For instance, type I-III of SCCmec carry large plasmids, they cause the bacteria less virulent to their hosts [33]. Antimicrobial resistance rate, type of infections and/or other microbiological characteristics depends much on SCCmec genotype variation [32]. There is another significant difference between HA-MRSA and CA-MRSA strains. HA-MRSA strains normally carry higher cost SCCmec elements, resulting in less virulent expression, more resistant to antibiotics, and they target on hospitalised or immunodeficiency. On contrary, CA-MRSA strains do not carry such high SCCmec elements hence the bacteria themselves express more virulent and toxic to the hosts, targeting on healthy hosts [33].

This mobile genetic element, SCCmec, is not only a genomic island contained *mecA* resistance gene but also accommodate several genes such as cytolysin gene *psm-mec* locus, which also confer resistance to HA-MRSA strains against antibiotic uses. The *psm-mec* belongs to phenol-soluble modulin (PSM) family [31]. It is encoded contiguously to other methicillin resistance genes such as mecA, mecR or mecI. Its peptide, same with other members of the PSM cluster, is capable to lyses human erythrocytes and neutrophils. Hence, these SCCmec elements make the *S. aureus* resistant to various antibiotics and become one of the most abundantly virulent pathogen [20,29-31].

Initially, the mechanism of the *mecA* biomarker gene contributing to methicillin and/or other β -lactam antibiotic resistance is known under control of two regulatory genes, *mecR1 and mecI genes*. The *mecR1* gene encodes sensor-inducer while *mecI* encodes repressor. When the bacteria are being exposed to antibiotics, such as β -lactam ones, the mecI genes in the bacteria itself will be activated and bind to the antibiotics hence forming antibiotic - *mecI* gene or sensor-inducer. From such binding, it triggers a series of the proteolytic steps that cleaves the mecI repressor, and make it loses its function in binding to *mecA* promoter [34]. However, the scientists stated that the expression of *mecA* from *mecR1-mecI* system occurs slowly and inefficiently; and there are three regulatory genes instead of two previously recognised. Meaning, aside from *mecI* and *mecR1* genes, there has *mecR2* recently identified (Figure 1.1). The *mecR2* engages with the repressor – called *mecI*, inhibit its binding to *mecA* promoter, therefore enables a complete activation of *mecA* gene for optimal expression of β -lactam resistance, such as PBP2a production. The figure 1.1 represent for a better and clearer understanding how the three-component (*mecI, mecR1* and *mecR2*) system work [35].

There is another resistant mechanism has been identified with the regulatory genes – *blaZ* gens in MRSA. Like the *mecA* gene which under control of two regulatory genes, *mecR1* and *mecI* genes, this *blaZ* genes also under the regulation by the *blaR1* and *blaI* regulatory genes. The *blaI-blaR1* system controls both beta-lactamase and PBP2a production in the bacteria. Both, the *mecA* and *blaZ* genes respond for expressions of the bacteria to antibiotics and vary the phenotypic expression of methicillin resistance of the bacterial cells [20]. Since there is a cross-talk between two resistant factors, the regulatory proteins of them, which are *mecI-mecR1* and *blaI-blaR1*, was found to be homologous. Moreover, the mecA system is also initiated by the arrangement of genes coding for *blaI* and *blaR1* and the PBP2a expression can also be regulated by *blaI* due to the similarity between the operator regions of *mecA* and *blaZ*.



Figure 1.1: Three-component system of the mecA regulatory locus. In the presence of a β -lactam antibiotic, *mecR1* is activated and rapidly induces the expression of *mecA* and *mecR1-mecI-mecR2*. The anti-repressor activity of *mecR2* is essential to sustain the *mecA* induction since it promotes the inactivation of *mecI* by proteolytic cleavage. In the absence of β -lactams, *mecR1* is not activated and a steady state is established with stable *mecI*-dimers bound to the *mecA* promoter and residual copies of *mecR1* at the cell membrane [35]



Figure 1.2: Induction of staphylococcal β -lactamase synthesis in the presence of the β -lactam antibiotic penicillin **I**: The DNA-binding protein BlaI binds to the operator region, thus repressing RNA transcription from both blaZ and blaR1-blaI. In the absence of penicillin, β -lactamase is expressed at low levels. **II:** Binding of penicillin to the transmembrane sensor-transducer BlaR1 stimulates BlaR1 autocatalytic activation. **III-IV:** Active BlaR1 either directly or indirectly (via a second protein, BlaR2) cleaves BlaI into inactive fragments, allowing transcription of both blaZ and blaR1-blaI to commence. **V-VII:** B-Lactamase, the extracellular enzyme encoded by blaZ (V), hydrolyzes the β -lactam ring of penicillin (VI), thereby rendering it inactive (VII) [20]

B-lactamase PBP2a Alterned peptidoglican D-Ala-D-Lac 1.Parc (or grlA) component of topoisomerase IV	1.Enzymatic hydrolysis of B-lactam nucleus 2. Reduced affinity for B-lactams 1.Trapping of vancomicin in the cell wall 2.Synthesis of dipeptide with reduced affinity for vancomicin 1,2.Mutations in the QRDR region	
D-Ala-D-Lac 1.Parc (or grlA) component of topoisomerase IV	2.Synthesis of dipeptide with reduced affinitiy for vancomicin	
topoisomerase IV	1,2.Mutations in the QRDR region	
2.gyrA or GyrB components of gyrase	reducing affinity of the enzyme-DNA	
ing Acetytransferase,) phosphotransferase	Acetylating and/or phosphorylating enzyme modifying aminoglycosides	
Dihydropteroate synthase Dihydrofolate reductase (DHFR) 23sRNA	 Overproduction of p-aminobenzoic acid by the enzyme Reduced affinity for DHFR, mutations in domain V of 23sNA component of the 50s ribosome inteferes with ribosomal 	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	binding 1.Reduce binding to the 23S ribosomal sununit 2.Enzymatic modification of dalfopristin	
	23sRNA	

Table 1.1: Mechanism of S. aureus resistance to antibiotics used [20]

Available Therapeutic Treatments have been used to Combat MRSA

Common treatments for MRSA infection are mainly based on tigecycline, linezoid and daptomycin [36]. Nevertheless, this pathogen also showed a concurrently complex increase in resistance mechanism. To be more evident, Snider and Rivard in 2012 reported that the emergence of MRSA strain with high-resistant level and complexity to glycopeptides antibiotic, namely vancomycin and/ or teicoplanin, is the consequence of an increase in using of antibiotics. Glycopeptides are antibiotics used to combat MRSA infections since 1960. More specifically, both teicoplanin and vancomycin belongs to glycopeptides antibiotic, but teicoplanin is a structural congener of, and it just has similar activity but a longer half-life, vancomycin. They are less and less used for a variety of reasons [37]. One of the most remarkable reasons is the inconvenient route of administration leading to complicated treatment used for MRSA infections. Since they might show better results when used injections, rather than the normal oral route [38]. Oral consumption of teicoplanin and vancomycin antibiotic, according to clinicians, is not effective and insufficient for controlling systemic infections. Normally, the patients will be treated with vancomycin first, however, when they are vancomycin allergic, the clinicians would suggest using teicoplanin. Furthermore, vancomycin has also showed less effective activity in treatment for MSSA infection than anti-staphylococcal beta-lactam antibiotics [39].

There are other reports also showed the recently treatment failures of vancomycin usage. Meaning, MRSA has been progressively becoming resistant to vancomycin, or vancomycin is no longer a MRSA infection's treatment choice [40,41]. In 2006, Schito conducted a single study and revealed that, there were growing with several new antibiotic resistant MRSA strains. They have undergone new evolutions and showed high level and complexity of resistance to vancomycin. For serious MSSA infection treatment, Penicillinase-resistant penicillins (dicloxacillin, flucloxacillin) antibiotics with first generation, named cephalosporins, are used to manage the spread or emergences of MSSA [42]. Less serious MSSA infections include skin infection, soft tissue infection; cephalosporins (such as cephalexin, cephalothin, cefazolin) are used as therapeutic treatment. However, this antibiotic cannot be used for all patients because it is allergic to immediate penicillin hypersensitivity such as bronchospasm, angioedema, urticaria [43].

Early, CA-MRSA infections have been treated by using traditional anti-staphylococcal antibiotics such as clindamycin (osteomyelitis), tetracyclines (minocycline, doxycycline) as well as sulfa drugs (sulfamethoxazole/ trimethoprim) [42,44]. Wang and his co-workers in 2006 reported that they successful identified an antibiotic, named platensimycin, used for combatting MRSA. Both HA-MRSA and CA-MRSA showed their resistant level to β -lactam antibiotics [45]. A recent few years, it is believed that vancomycin is the drug of choice for treating of CA-MRSA infections [39,40]. In case of HA-MRSA, it also showed its resistance to these antibiotics and commonly liable to be harmed and extirpated by vancomycin. Later, novel drugs used for MRSA infection treatment were daptomycin and linezolid for a long period of time. In the US, β -lactam antibiotic used to treat MRSA infection symptoms such as skin, soft tissue infections or community pneumonia is fifth-generation cephalosporin antibiotic, kind of β -lactam antibiotic, named ceftaroline [40]. To have the better effect of usage of antibiotics, normally the patients will be assigned to take combined medications of two or even more antimicrobials since the single agent cannot control the pathogenic bacteria [43]. There was also a class of non- β -lactam antibiotic, called oxadiazoles, was successful used to treat MRSA infection in rat models instead [42]. In Australia and New Zealand, the use of newly effective antibiotics, quinupristin/dalfopristin and linezolid (used in antistaphylococcal treatment) is less considered due to its high cost. And it is highly recommended that is only use for a patient who is intolerant of conventional therapy or having infections by high-antibiotic-resistant-bacteria strains [43].

Ceftaroline fosamil is designed to treat MRSA infections and other gram-positive strains of pathogenic bacteria. It belongs to family of cephalosporin antibiotics. This antibiotic also indicated high effect on treatment for community-acquired pneumonia and complicated skin and skin-structure infections [46]. Later in 2010, FDA issued an approval for use of this drug for the treatment of community-acquired bacterial pneumonia as well as acute bacterial skin infections. For skin and skin-structure infections, this drug is effective curing the symptoms as good as vancomycin [47]. Other reports revealed that this antibiotic also can be administered against vancomycin-intermediate *S. aureus* (VISA) and/or vancomycin-resistant *S. aureus* (VRSA) successfully.

Clindamycin is a traditional anti-staphylococcal antibiotic with other name osteomyelitis. This drug can be used by oral route or cream to apply on skin. It is indicated that its mechanism is interfering the trans-peptidation process hence inhibiting the protein synthesis process hence killing the bacteria cells [48]. The drug was successful cured some invasive community-acquired MRSA infections [40,48]. It is available in the market with acceptable cost and in form of capsules and oral suspensions. Pain at injection site, rash, diarrhea and nausea are common adverse effects that the treated patients might have. In some case of usage, such as treatment for acnes, it is more successful treated when it is used together with benzoyl peroxide. According to Daum and his study in 2007, it is assigned to cure some cases of MRSA infections [48]. Because this antibiotic is bacteriostatic agent, it could not be applied for all types of MRSA infection [40,49].

Daptomycin is a lipopeptide antibiotic that has been discovered and used since 1980s to treat systemic infections by chronic MRSA and other gram-positive bacteria [40,41,50]. It is recognized with distinct mechanism of action in depolarizing cell membrane and inhibiting protein synthesis in infective bacteria. Meaning, it can cause bacteria cell death by the inhibition of DNA, RNA and protein synthesis [37]. This drug help control MRSA infection, pneumonia which can cause adverse effects for respiratory system in infected patients. According to Figueroa report in 2009, this drug is usually assigned to use with high dosage for sufficient

treatments or in other words, the bactericidal activity of this drug is concentration-dependant [51]. Nevertheless, in contrary, another report indicated that using this antibiotic with higher dose may lead to inducing myopathy [40]. More studies and optimization for dose use of this drug is in need to minimize its side effects [37,50].

Linezolid is another antibiotic used to control the spread of both gram-positive and gram-negative strains of bacteria, including MRSA with nosocomical pneumonia symptom [52]. It is assigned to use via oral route by which it could trigger strongest bioavailability and penetration into epithelial lining fluid hence manage or completely cure the pneumonia. Compared to vancomycin, linezolid is better for treatment of MRSA-infected pneumonia. Nevertheless, the common side effects when being used for even short- or long- period could lead to some health problems including nausea, diarrhea, headache, rash or even though symptoms of high blood lactate levels or irreversible optic nerve damage [52,53]. The antibacterial mechanism of this drug based on the inhibition of bacteria protein synthesis which can cause the stop-to-growth or kill the bacteria. Blocking the first stage of protein production of the bacteria make this antibiotic become distinguishable from the others, which are normally interfere at the later steps [53].

Quinupristin-dalfopristin is a combination of two antibiotics of quinupristin and dalfopristin with weighing ratio of 30% and 70%, respectively. This streptogramin drug also has the mechanism of action in killing bacteria cells like other antibiotics such as tetracyclines, clindamycin and tigecycline by interrupting the bacterial protein synthesis. It is designed for MSSA infections [49,54]. Although the side effect with severe myalgias is unexpected, in some cases when MRSA infections cannot be controlled by other antibiotics, it was assigned to use this combination antibiotic [54].

Another combination antibiotic is trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole which combines two antibiotics, trimethoprim and sulfamethoxazole with ratio of one-five respectively. This drug is available in both for-used ways including oral and intravenous [55]. The mechanism of action of this drug is suppressing folic acid synthesis of the bacteria leading to additive effect in inhibition of bacterial cell growth [40,56]. There are considerable side effects from using this drug such as nausea, colic, diarrhea, nasan, mental depression, dizziness, uremia-developing patients [56]. According to the report by Liu and his co-workers, this drug is not being approved by FDA for MRSA infection treatments; it is being used to treat non-invasive community-acquired MRSA infections. A comparison in anti-bacterial effect have been made by a clinical study with the result showed that Trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole antibiotic is less effective than others such as vancomycin and tetracyclines [40].

Telavancin is a bactericidal lipoglycopeptide antibiotic used to treat gram-positive infections, including MRSA. It is a semisynthetic derivate of vancomycin drug. Hence, the mechanism of bactericidal activity for therapeutic treatment also based on the inhibition of cell wall synthesis and/or depolarisation of bacterial membrane. Since 2009, FDA approved this drug for skinstructure and complicated-skin infection. Currently it is used to treat MRSA and vancomycin-intermediate S. aureus infections [57-60]. Accidentally, it was found with the ability to cure the infective endocarditis (a hardly-to-treat disease with biofilm formation) though it was not initially assigned for this kind of infection's patient. Therefore, it is suggested that it has part in biofilm-related infections [58,59]. Remarkably, it is recommended not to use for pregnant women because it can cause teratogenicity and this was report since 2011 by FDA for the adverse effects [57].

Tetracyclines are also bacteriostatic agents which can cause the inhibition of protein synthesis of the pathogenic bacteria by blocking the attachment of charged aminoacyl-tRNA to the A site on the ribosome [61]. They belong to a big family of antibiotics; include minocycline and doxycycline [40]. In case of the MRSA strain carries tetK gene, exhibiting drug out the cells, tetracyclines antibiotic might become less effective [62]. Among members of tetracyclines, minocycline has the most effective mechanism of action as compared to others. the reason explain for it could be that, it restricts to a minimum of resistance mechanism of MRSA strains due to its longer half-life, better absorption and enhanced tissue-penetration [40,61]. It was also found with higher effects than other antibiotics including tigecycline, linezolid, daptomycin and even vancomycin in controlling MRSA isolated from biofilm [63]. There are many side effects of minocycline such as fatty liver, hepatitis, tinnitus, breathing complications, growth of bone in fetus. Remarkably, due to the adverse effects of minocycline in MRSA treatments, it is not highly recommended or assigned for patients with drug-induced lupus-like-syndrome, renal disorders, autoimmune and pancreatitis or in pregnancy stage [61,63].

Tigecycline is an antibiotic used to treat both gram-positive and gram-negative pathogenic bacteria. This is indicated to treat skin-structure or complicated-skin, community-acquired pneumonia and intra-abdominal infections [37]. Like the mechanism of bactericidal activity of daptomycin and/or telavancin drugs, it also causes bacterial cell death by prohibiting bacterial protein synthesis. The reports showed that, this drug was designed with specific structure with a modified side chain to minimize major bacterial resistance [37,49]. Clinical studies were conducted and recommended that tigecycline is effective treatment for serious MRSA infections. This drug is used via intravenous infusion and no available options for oral route intake. Noticed, there were precautions with use of this drug due to increase in mortality risk of patients (cause is unknown clearly) in 2010 by FDA [57]. It must be careful when using this antibiotic for pregnant ladies and children. FDA then also issue a regulation about precaution part in the drug label due to this increase in death risk as compared to other alternative treatment. The warning was made after a clinical trial in total of 7400 patients with serious infections caused by MRSA because the statistics indicated higher risk of all-cause mortality with those who use tigecycline instead of other appropriate treatments [57,64].

Even though there were many reports revealed that ratio of infections caused by MRSA have scaled down in recent years, the needs

to search out potentially applicable alternative therapeutic treatments for those infections remain high [65]. The reason explains for it could be that, MRSA still exist as one of the most common single multi-drug resistance bacterium. Recently, there are several studies about therapeutic treatments used for MRSA infections. Most of pathogenic S. aureus strains show the antibiotic resistant level to penicillin, and commonly spread from hospital into the community [43]. In 2010, Sherlock and colleagues tested and indicated that, honey contains some specific components can be used to kill MRSA [66,67]. Another in vitro study conducted later revealed that, the extracted compounds from cannabis plant, common hemp, called cannabinoids and pinene can be used to combat MRSA infections [68]. Extracts from oak also showed anti-bacterial activity in combat to MRSA [69]. Most of these in vitro need more downstream works to publish anti-bacterial molecule(s) use for therapeutic treatment against MRSA infections.

From all the above mentioned and discussed, MRSA has developed its own resistance mechanism to many in-used antibiotics such as tetracyclines and vancomycin. Evidentially, the more in usage of antimicrobial agent, the more complex resistance mechanism the pathogenic bacteria strains acquire. Moreover, precautions with considerable number of adverse effects as part of toxicity remain in these antibiotics. FDA issued approval list of drugs for MRSA infection treatment (Table 1.2) [57]. From the table, we have clearer view of available antibiotics for therapeutic treatments caused by MRSA such as complicated intra-abdomen infections, bacteremia infective endocarditis, nosocomial pneumonia. Therefore, the current mission of scientists in finding new potential anti-infectives replaceable for older drugs is necessary to completely treat and/or control MRSA infections.

Drugs/ infections	Complicated SSSI	САР	Nosocomial Pneumonia	Bacteremia	Infective endocarditis	Complicated intra-Abdominal Infections
Vancomycin	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	
Linezolid	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark			
Daptomycin	\checkmark			\checkmark	\checkmark	
Tigecycline	\checkmark	\checkmark				\checkmark
Telavancin	\checkmark					
Ceftaroline	\checkmark	\checkmark				

SSSI: skin and skin-structure infections; CAP: community-acquired pneumonia

There are absences of doxycycline, quinupristin-dalfopristin, minocycline, clindamycin and trimethoprimsulfamethoxazole because they have not been approved by FDA with indications for use to treat MRSA infections yet. **Table 1.2:** FDA-approved Indications for MRSA infections [37]

C. elegans a Host Model for MRSA Pathogenesis

Introduction

C. elegans belongs to *Animalia* kingdom, *Nematoda* phylum, *Chromadorea* class and *Rhaditida* family. The use of non-vertebrate tractable host models (such as *Drosophila melanogaster, C. elegans, Dictyostelium*) to study virulence mechanisms of human pathogenic bacteria strains as well as the defense mechanisms from the host has become more popular recently. *C. elegans*, an *in vivo* model genetic host, has been used, complementary to those already in existence to establish a novel host-pathogenic model system to discover potential therapeutic anti-infective molecules [5,10,16,70]. In other word, using *C. elegans* as a host model for MRSA pathogenesis, researchers decipher the mechanisms of host-pathogen interaction through the understanding of MRSA or MSSA infection. Previously, the traditional platforms for drug discovery were normally *in vitro screening* and the potential compounds then were tested in a whole and completed organism they showed poor pharmacokinetic activities and toxic. Interestingly, using *C. elegans* for anti-infectives discovery to initially test the compounds has many advantages and over those previous limitations [16].

There are serious limitation with conventional mammalian models (rodent, primate, ...) in determining the *in vivo* effects of the test compounds such as ethical constrains, time-consuming and laborious procedures, high cost. In addition, mammalian models have normally been used for finding potentially new drugs leads, nevertheless they are strictly prohibited to use for screening large number of compounds. Those make the progress of finding new antimicrobial agents becomes more slowly. With the use of *C. elegans*, these limitations can be overcome as it can be used to conduct the initial testing of compounds in a whole animal system. Screening of compounds with the nematode whole animal model also allows preliminary assessment of drug toxicity as worms treated with these compounds will show similar or decreased survival when compared to the untreated control [71]. The ability of self-fertilization of the worm allows us to use it in automated high-throughput drug screening method with low cost and rapid cultivation of large population without any ethical concern [16]. Moreover, the worm is not only help detect potential antimicrobial compounds that directly target the pathogen viability, but also with the compound that alter pathogen virulence or enhance the host immune response. However, this model organism cannot completely replace mammalian models because of its favorable temperature of growth and development, its efficient detoxification system which limits the capacity to identify compounds that act via modulation of host defenses [72]. Hence, there is a need to investigate more with this promising host model organism used in drug discovery.

This worm is small (~1mm length), has short generation time, simple anatomy and fully sequenced genome. Working with the nematode has no concerns or worries either for genetic and genomic knowledge or laboratory techniques, all are available and very easy-to-manipulate. Because *C. elegans* is hermaphrodite, it can self-fertilization and allow for a very-easy-to-get big population hence it allows for preliminarily screening of toxic or non-toxic compounds without any ethical constraints. The transparent body allows them to be visualized under the microscopes both in normal or to-be-fluorescent conditions [16]. In nature, this worm/ metazoan uses soil bacteria as food source [70]. This reveals that they have protective responses combat pathogens themselves. Hence it is up to the widely use of these microorganisms as an attractive host–pathogen model [73]. The worm itself has physical protective tools against microorganism intrusion such as cuticle and grinder. Notwithstanding, these physical defense is not protectively perfect because a few bacteria can penetrate deep into the worm's intestines through the grinder's worm then cause infection and consequently kill the worms. Then they can proliferate inside the gut and produce toxins that can kill the worm [73-75].

There were several publications conducted using *C. elegans*, the soil-borne transparent nematode, as non-mammalian host to study the host-pathogen interactions [5,70,73,76-80]. Mallo *et al.* in 2002 conducted microarray experiments with infected *C. elegans* and from that identified some genes that may play a role in innate immune response. Their report was the first profiled defense-related genes for the worm responses to bacterial *Serratia marcescens* infection by using high-density cDNA microarray. Detail, there were remarkable upregulation of the expression of any genes which also can be found in the immune system of other model organisms and encode lysozymes and lectins [81]. First profiling of defense-related genes to antimicrobial agents, later many studies have been conducted to identify the conserved innate immunity signaling pathways against the pathogens which are quite similar among the nematode defense system and other mammalian. Apart from identifying the virulent factors which cause the worm death [82], some other reports also successfully revealed pathways associated with the defenses system of the worm including transforming Growth Factor-b (TGF-b) pathway by Mallo *et al*, 2002 [81], the Insulin/Growth Factor-1 (IIS) pathway by Garsin and his co-workers in 2003 [83] and later the p38 Mitogen Activated Protein Kinase (p38 MAPK) pathway by Troemel *et al*. in 2006 [84].

C. elegans has been used in various *in vivo screening* platforms as a host model to study and identify the resistance mechanism of the worms with *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (*P. aeruginosa*) and *S. aureus infection* [85]; *Lactobacillus acidophilus* (*L. acidophilus*) strain NCFM in mechanism enhancing gram-positive immune responses [86], *Escherichia coli and S. aureus* causing infections in diabetes [87], *S. aureus* infection [88], identify new bacteriocin to combat infections from *S. aureus* strain RF122 causing severe disease such as mastitis [89]. Those reports have contributed more insights about pathogenic-host model interactions among *C. elegans* and pathogenic bacteria strains.

From those basic of knowledge, the researchers have conducted studies using *C. elegans* for anti-infective discovery (Table 1.2). It is another remarkable advantage of using *C. elegans in vivo* host model. In 2014, Kong and her colleagues were successfully used *C. elegans* platform for anti-infective discovery. From this report, they found two compounds extracted from *Orthosiphon stamineus* (*O. stamineus*) leaf and *Nypa fruticans* (*N. fruticans*) root in total of 29 synthetic compounds and 37 natural extracts that showed anti-infective properties. They showed ability in prolonging the *C. elegans* from *S. aureus* infection without affecting bacterial cell viability which means. The hits from this report may also be further extended to higher organism or even in human [10]. However, the worm is not a perfect host model and cannot completely replace other higher animals in screening anti-microbial candidates due to some limitations need to be acknowledged such as suitable environmental temperature for both maintenance and screening period (must not be exceeded 37 °C and in range of either 16, 20 or 25 °C) [16]. Moreover, potential compounds that act via modulating host defenses are not easy to be identified because this worm has an efficient detoxification system itself [72]. The utility of this nematode to screen anti-infectives molecules for therapeutic treatment against *S. aureus* infection should be pursued and need more intensive studies [10,72].

Drugs/ Compounds	Description	Infection Model	References
Berberine derivatives	Berberine is a natural alkaloid found in plants.	C. elegans-E. faecalis C. elegans-MRSA	Tomkiewicz et al. 2010 Dolla <i>et al.</i> 2015
Celecoxib	An FDA-approved non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug that blocks the COX-2 enzyme. It is used to relieve fever, pain and inflammation.	C. elegans-MRSA	Thangamani <i>et al.</i> 2015
Artilysins	A modified form of endolysins, enzymes produced by bacteriophages to hydrolyze the bacterial cell wall, with improved outer membrane-penetrating capability	C. elegans-P. aeruginosa	Briers et al. 2014
Curcumin	Main active component Extracted from Curcuma longa	C. elegans-S.aureus	Kong et al. 2014 [10]
Lamotrigine	An FDA-approved anticonvulsant drug that also inhibits ribosome biogenesis in bacteria, thereby preventing the growth of bacteria.	C. elegans-Salmonella enterica	Stokes et al. 2015
Auranofin	A form of gold complex that is mainly implicated in the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis	C. elegans-S. aureus	Fuchs <i>et al.</i> 2016

Table 1.3: In vivo screening using C. elegans as a host model: probe anti-infective molecules/compounds for anti-microbial effect [16]

Liquid-based assay using C. elegans as a Host Model-Effective Screening Approach for Anti-Infective Compounds

Conventionally, the antimicrobial compounds/molecules which can be used for bacterial infections were probed using *in vitro* cellculture based assay. It is less effective as compared to the later one called *in vivo* pathogen- host platforms of screening. The reasons for it could be that, there was a lack of pathogen-host interaction which serves as reliable proof for further test in higher organism and even in human. Later, in 2006 there was an alternative approach for anti-infective high-throughput screening which was conducted by Moy and his colleague. The experimental procedure is set out by using 96-wells plate with *C. elegans-Enterococcus faecalis* platform for screening [11]. This is a liquid-based screening assay hence the worm has a constant time to be exposed in the liquid media instead of agar surface. The compounds both natural extracts from marine and plant or synthetic ones were tested whether they can rescue the worm from *E. faecalis* infection. The results showed that using this infection model, the researchers can screen a large number of promising compounds for antimicrobial with very low minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) lower than 31 mg/mL. They showed capability in curing the worm survival and some can inhibit the replication of the bacteria [71]. From that initial research, there were many others work on and looked forward to finding novel anti-infectives and they have achieved some remarkable hits [16].

An infection model *C. elegans -S. aureus* screening platform has been conducted by Kong and her co-workers in 2014 to probe novel compounds/small molecules can be effectively used to combat MRSA infections [10]. Finding from Sifri and his team in 2003, there is a difference between infection caused by *E. faecalis* and *S. aureus* to the worm, the second is just transient and not persit in the worm gut [82]. The liquid-based screening assay was applied with total of more than 70 compounds from natural extracts, marine and from the synthetic ones. Seven best candidates showing potential anti-microbial effect screened from them then were subjected to MIC determination assay. Finally, they found the best effective anti-microbial molecule is the curcumin extracted from *Curcuma longa* [10,82].

Another experiment in 2014 by Rajamuthiah *et al.* also used *C. elegan -S. aureus* host-pathogen screening platform to screen antiinfectives that can be used to combat MRSA infection. The liquid-based assay was used to probe for 640 drugs for MRSA infections which approved by FDA for other therapeutic treatments. From that, closantel, inherently used for intestinal worm treatment in human and veterinary, was probed for prolonging the survival of MRSA-infected *C. elegans*. Meaning, this drug can be potentially applied for MRSA infections with very low MIC. It also showed capability in dealing with other gram-positive and gram-negative strains of bacteria with low concentrations. For VRSA infection, this drug showed effective control only with concentration of 0.78mg.mL-1. Moreover, this screening platform is more strongly believed effectively used for probing anti-infectives candidates because from that study, the drugs which have been used as antibiotic combating MRSA so far also probed as hits from the results of screening [90].



Figure 1.3: Possible results from *C. elegans* anti-infective screen. In the schematic diagram, the results with three categorized outcomes include positive toxic effect; anti-infective effect and no effect. The hits in the second category may acts as anti-microbial, anti-virulence or immune-activator/immune-modulator. For the possible toxic effect, the compounds were then further tested to confirm by exposing the worms to the compounds in uninfected condition and fed with dead E. coli OP50 [15]

The advantages of using liquid-based assay rather than the conventional agar-based assay include cost effective, simple, time efficient, high sensitivity, and with low concentration of MIC.

Anti-Infective Compounds-Potentially Novel Alternative Therapeutic Treatment for MRSA Infections

Anti-infective can be defined as an agent that is able to prevent or counteract infections based on their capability to inhibit the dissemination of an infectious agent or directly kill the pathogen. Depends on the mode of action the antimicrobial agents, for instance the antibiotics, perform their bacteriostatic or bactericidal properties, by which they inhibit bacterial propagation or kill the bacteria, they can be categorized accordingly (Kong *et al.* 2016). Because they target on bacterial viability, hence their actions lead to the substantial stresses on the targeted bacteria strain and their anti-biotic resistance. To overcome these pitfalls, researchers are trying to find out other therapeutic treatments for bacterial infections. These alternative approaches must satisfy the request for not affect the viability of the bacteria and may strengthen the host innate immune responses and host survival (Figure 1.3).

Nowadays, with the widely used of *C. elegans* as a host model in *C. elegans - S. aureus* infection model, the anti-infectives with novel potential characteristics have been identified with very low of MIC which allow for further test in higher animal and human. The advantages of using *C. elegans*, a very useful host model for drugs discovery and development nowadays, in *in vivo* screening force for the brighter future with no more subsidiary on antibiotics to combat MRSA infections.

Conclusion and Future Recommendation

The use of the whole animal *C. elegans* model helps investigate anti-virulence therapeutics that target virulence factor(s) expressed in vivo when host-pathogen relationship is intact. Moreover, identification of novel compound(s) as anti-virulence could strongly support for further development as anti-pathogen therapeutics. Also, the understanding of bacterial virulence mechanism in vivo and potentially novel virulence factors as anti-virulence targets. Using liquid-based assay rather than the conventional agar-based assay has more advantages including cost effective, simple, time efficient, high sensitivity, and with low concentration of MIC. From my review on the use of *C. elegans* model as well as liquid based assay, I thereby would like to recommend this approach as it will help researchers screen and identify small molecules as potential anti-virulence agent(s) towards antibiotic-resistant *S. aureus* as well as to dissect the mechanism of action of the selected molecule(s) as anti-virulence agent(s). The use of this kind of worm can be potentially used to simultaneously identify compounds that target host defense as well as bacterial virulence.

Acknowledgement

The author would like to thank Vietnam International Education Development–Ministry of Vietnam Education and Training and Dong Nai Technology University–DNTU for funding this paper review by scholarship under the Project 911 (2016-2020).

References

1. Alanis AJ (2005) Resistance to antibiotics: are we in the post-antibiotic era? Arch Med Res 36: 697-705.

2. Stefani S, Chung DR, Lindsay JA, Friedrich AW, Kearns AM, et al. (2012) Meticillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA): global epidemiology and harmonization of typing methods. Int J Antimicrob Agents 39: 273-82.

3. Britta B, André K, Sarah van A, Philipp J, Robin K, et al. (2017) The pathogenicity and host adaptation of livestock-associated MRSA CC398. Vet Microbiol 200: 39-45.

4. Rabaan AA, Bazzi AM (2017) Variation in MRSA identification results from different generations of Xpert MRSA real-time PCR testing kits from nasal swabs. J Infect Public Health 10: 799-802.

5. Kong C, Neoh H, Nathan S (2016) A Review Targeting Staphylococcus aureus Toxins: A Potential form of Anti-Virulence Therapy. Toxins 8: 72.

6. CDC (2013) Centers for Disease Prevention and Control. Antibiotic resistance threats in the United States. Atlanta, GA, USA.

7. Arpana SC (2016) Perspective - A review of global initiatives to fight antibiotic resistance and recent antibiotics' discovery. Acta Pharm Sin B, 6: 552-6.

8. Livermore DM (2009) Has the era of untreatable infections arrived? J Antimicrob Chemother 64 Suppl 1: i29-36.

9. Singh SB, Young K, Silver LL (2017) Review What is an "ideal" antibiotic? Discovery challenges and path forward. Biochem Pharmacol 133: 63-73.

10. Kong C, Yehye AW, Rahman AN, Tan MW Nathan S (2014) Discovery of potential anti-infectives against Staphylococcus aureus using a Caenorhabditis elegans infection model. BMC Complement Altern Med.

11. Moy TI, Ball AR, Anklesaria Z, Casadei G, Lewis K, et al. (2006) Identification of novel antimicrobials using a live-animal infection model. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 103: 10414-9.

12. Breger J, Fuchs BB, Aperis G, Moy TI, Ausubel FM, et al. (2007) Antifungal chemical compounds identified using a C. elegans pathogenicity assay. PLoS Pathog 3: e18.

13. Okoli I, Coleman JJ, Tampakakis E, An WF, Holson E, et al. (2009) Identification of antifungal compounds active against Candida albicans using an improved high-throughput Caenorhabditis elegans assay. PLoS One 4: e7025.

14. Giacomotto J, Segalat L, Carre-Pierrat M, Gieseler K, (2012) Caenorhabditis elegans as a chemical screening tool for the study of neuromuscular disorders. Manual and semi-automated methods. Methods 56:103-13.

15. Kirienko NV, Kirienko DR, Larkins-Ford J, Wahlby C, Ruvkun G, et al. (2013) Pseudomonas aeruginosa disrupts Caenorhabditis elegans iron homeostasis, causing a hypoxic response and death. Cell Host Microbe 13: 406-16.

16. Kong C, Eng SA, Lim MP, Nathan S (2016) Beyond Traditional Antimicrobials: A Caenorhabditis elegans Model for Discovery of Novel Anti-infectives. Front Microbiol 7:1956.

17. Klevens RM, Edwards JR, Richards CL, Horan TC, Gaynes RP, et al. (2007) Estimating health care-associated infections and deaths in US hospitals, 2002. Public Health Rep 122: 160-6.

18. Eili Y, Sun KL, Smith DL, Laxminarayan R (2013) The Changing Epidemiology of Methicillin-Resistant Staphylococcus aureus in the United States: A National Observational Study. Am J Epidemiol 177: 666-74.

19. Hussein NR, Basharat Z, Muhamme AH, Al-Dabbagh SA (2015) Comparative Evaluation of MRSA Nasal Colonization Epidemiology in the Urban and Rural Secondary School Community of Kurdistan, Iraq. PLoS One10: e0124920.

20. Lowy FD (2003) Antimicrobial resistance: the example of Staphylococcus aureus. J Clin Invest 111: 1265-73.

21. Fitzgerald JR, Sturdevant DE, Mackie SM, Gill SR, Musser JM (2001) Evolutionary genomics of Staphylococcus aureus: Insights into the origin of methicillinresistant strains and the toxic shock syndrome epidemic. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 98: 8821-6.

22. Harrison EM, Paterson GK, Holden MT, Larsen J, Stegger M, et al. (2013) Whole genome sequencing identifies zoonotic transmission of MRSA isolates with the novel mecA homologue mecC. EMBO Mol Med 5: 509-15.

23. Gelband H, Miller-Petrie M, Pant S, Gandra S, Levinson J, et al. (2015) The state of the world's antibiotics. Wound Healing Southern Africa 8: 30-34.

24. Mehndiratta PL, Bhalla P (2014) Use of Antibiotics in Animal Agriculture & Emergence of Methicillin-Resistant Staphylococcus Aureus (MRSA) Clones: Need to Assess the Impact on Public Health. Indian J Med Res 140: 339-44.

25. Tomasz A (2013) The use of whole genome sequencing to solve an epidemiological puzzle. EMBO Mol Med 5: 486-7.

26. Waters AE, Contente-Cuomo T, Buchhagen J, Liu CM, Watson L (2011) Multidrug-Resistant Staphylococcus aureus in US Meat and Poultry. Clin Infect Dis 52: 1227-30.

27. Ogata K, Narimatsu H, Suzuki M, Higuchi W, Yamamoto T (2012) Commercially distributed meat as a potential vehicle for community-acquired methicillinresistant Staphylococcus aureus. Appl Environ Microbiol 78: 2797-802.

28. Harvey F, Carson M, O'Kane M, Wasley A (2015) MRSA superbug found in supermarket pork raises alarm over farming risks. The Guardian International Edition.

29. Jensen SO, Lyon BR (2009) Genetics of antimicrobial resistance in Staphylococcus aureus. Future Microbiol 4: 565-82.

30. Pantosti A, Sanchini A, Monaco M (2007) Mechanisms of antibiotic resistance in Staphylococcus aureus. Future Microbiol 2: 323-4.

31. Cheung GY, Villaruz AE1, Joo HS1, Duong AC1, Yeh AJ, et al. (2014) Genome-wide analysis of the regulatory function mediated by the small regulatory psmmec RNA of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus. Int J Med Microbiol 304: 637-44.

32. Kuo SC, Chiang MC, Lee WS, Chen LY, Wu HS, et al. (2012) Comparison of microbiological and clinical characteristics based on SCCmec typing in patients with community-onset methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) bacteraemia. Int J Antimicrob Agents 39: 22-6.

33. Collins J, Rudkin J, Recker M, Pozzi C, O'Gara JP, et al. (2010) Offsetting virulence and antibiotic resistance costs by MRSA. ISME J 4: 577-84.

34. Zhang HZ, Hackbarth CJ, Chansky KM, Chambers HF (2001) A proteolytic transmembrane signalling pathway and resistance to beta-lactams in staphylococci. Science 291: 1962-5.

35. Arêde P, Milheiriço C, de Lencastre H, Oliveira DC (2012) The Anti-Repressor MecR2 Promotes the Proteolysis of the mecA Repressor and Enables Optimal Expression of β -lactam Resistance in MRSA. PLoS Pathog 8: e1002816.

36. Livermore DM (2009) Has the era of untreatable infections arrived? The J Antimicrob Chemother 64 Suppl 1: i29-36.

37. Snider J, Rivard B (2012) Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus: A review of Current Antibiotic Therapy. Spectrum Health Grand Rapids, Michigan, 1-25.

38. Janknegt R, (1997) The treatment of staphylococcal infections with special reference to pharmacokinetic, pharmacodynamic, and pharmacoeconomic considerations. Pharm World Sci 19: 133-41.

39. Siegman-Igra Y, Reich P, Orni-Wasserlauf R, Schwartz D, Giladi M (2005) The role of vancomycin in the persistence or recurrence of Staphylococcus aureus bacteraemia. Scand J Infect Dis 37: 572-8.

40. Liu C, Bayer A, Cosgrove SE, Daum RS, Fridkin SK, et al. (2011) Clinical practice guidelines by the Infectious Diseases Society of America for the treatment of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus infections in adults and children: executive summary. Clin Infect Dis 52: 285-92.

41. Rivera AM, Boucher HW (2011) Current concepts in antimicrobial therapy against select gram-positive organisms: methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus, penicillin-resistant pneumococci, and vancomycin-resistant enterococci. Mayo Clin Proc 86: 1230-43.

42. Schito GC (2006) The importance of the development of antibiotic resistance in Staphylococcus aureus. Clin Microbiol Infect 12: 3-8.

43. Rayner C, Munckhof WJ (2005) Antibiotics currently used in the treatment of infections caused by Staphylococcus aureus. Intern Med J 35: S3-16.

44. Mongkolrattanothai K, Boyle S, Kahana MD, Daum RS (2003) Severe Staphylococcus aureus infections caused by clonally related community-associated methicillin-susceptible and methicillin-resistant isolates. Clin Infect Dis 37: 1050-8.

45. Wang J, Soisson SM, Young K, Shoop W, Kodali S, et al. (2006) Platensimycin is a selective FabF inhibitor with potent antibiotic properties. Nature 441: 358-61.

46. Kanafani ZA, Corey GR (2009) Ceftaroline: a cephalosporin with expanded Gram-positive activity. Future Microbiol 4: 25-33.

47. Corey GR, Wilcox M, Talbot GH, Friedland HD, Baculik T, et al. (2010) Integrated analysis of CANVAS 1 and 2: phase 3, multicenter, randomized, doubleblind studies to evaluate the safety and efficacy of ceftaroline versus vancomycin plus aztreonam in complicated skin and skin-structure infection. Clin Infect Dis 51: 641-50.

48. Daum RS (2007) Clinical practice. Skin and soft-tissue infections caused by methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus. N Engl J Med 357: 380-90.

49. Lexi-Comp, Inc. (2017) Hudson, Ohio, USA.

50. Pawlowski AC, Wang W, Koteva K, Barton HA, McArthur AG, et al. (2016) A diverse intrinsic antibiotic resistome from a cave bacterium. Nat Commun 7: 13803.

51. Figueroa DA, Mangini E, Amodio-Groton M, Vardianos B, Melchert A, et al. (2009) Safety of high-dose intravenous daptomycin treatment: three-year cumulative experience in a clinical program. Clin Infect Dis 49: 177-80.

52. Li JJ, Corey EJ (2013) In: Drug Discovery: Practices, Processes, and Perspectives. John Wiley & Sons p: 6.

53. Mendes RE, Deshpande LM, Jones RN (2014) Linezolid update: stable in vitro activity following more than a decade of clinical use and summary of associated resistance mechanisms. Drug resist updat 17: 1-12.

Journal of Microbiology and Modern Techniques

54. Drew RH, Perfect JR, Srinath L, Kurkimilis E, Dowzicky M, et al. (2000) Treatment of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus infections with quinupristindalfopristin in patients intolerant of or failing prior therapy. For the Synercid Emergency -Use Study Group. J Antimicrob Chemother 46: 775-84.

55. Hamilton R (2015) In: Tarascon Pocket Pharmacopoeia 2015 Deluxe Lab-Coat Edition. Jones & Bartlett Learning P: 105.

56. Pradhan E, Bhandari S, Gilbert RE, Stanford M (2016) Antibiotics versus no treatment for toxoplasma retinochoroiditis. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 5: CD002218.

57. FDA Drug Safety Communication: Increased risk of death with Tygacil (tigecycline) compared to other antibiotics used to treat similar infections. Report in (2009, 2011, 2012, 2017) The US Food and Drug Administration. Silver Spring, MD, USA.

58. Marcos LA, Camins BC (2010) Successful treatment of vancomycin-intermediate Staphylococcus aureus pacemaker lead infective endocarditis with telavancin. Antimicrobi Agents Chemother 54: 5376-8.

59. Nace H Lorber B (2010) Successful treatment of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus endocarditis with telavancin. J Antimicrob Chemother 65:1315-6.

60. Astellas P (2017) Astellas and Theravance Announce Termination of License, Development and Commercialization Agreement for VIBATIV^{*} (telavancin) for Injection. Astellas Pharma. Tokyo, Japan.

61. Moullan N, Mouchiroud L, Wang X, Ryu D, Williams EG (2015) Tetracyclines Disturb Mitochondrial Function across Eukaryotic Models: A Call for Caution in Biomedical Research. Cell Rep S2211-1247: 00180-1.

62. Ruhe JJ Menon A (2007) Tetracyclines as an oral treatment option for patients with community onset skin and soft tissue infections caused by methicillinresistant Staphylococcus aureus. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 51: 3298-303.

63. Bishburg E Bishburg K (2009) Minocycline--an old drug for a new century: emphasis on methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) and Acinetobacter baumannii. Int J Antimicrob Agents 34: 395-401.

64. Florescu I, Beuran M, Dimov R, Razbadauskas A, Bochan M (2008) Efficacy and safety of tigecycline compared with vancomycin or linezolid for treatment of serious infections with methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus or vancomycin-resistant enterococci: a Phase 3, multicentre, double-blind, randomized study. J Antimicrob Chemother 62: 17-28.

65. Todd A, Worsley AJ, Anderson RJ, Groundwater PW (2009) Current research and development into new antibacterial agents. Pharma J 359-360.

66. Kwakman PH, te Velde AA, de Boer L, Speijer D, Vandenbroucke-Grauls CM, et al. (2010) How honey kills bacteria. FASEB J 24: 2576-82.

67. Sherlock O1, Dolan A, Athman R, Power A, Gethin G, et al. (2010) Comparison of the antimicrobial activity of Ulmo honey from Chile and Manuka honey against methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus, Escherichia coli and Pseudomonas aeruginosa. BMC Complement Altern Med 10: 47.

68. Russo EB (2011) Taming THC: potential cannabis synergy and phytocannabinoid-terpenoid entourage effects. Br J Pharmacol 163: 1344-64.

69. Graham GS (2014) Healing outcomes of MRSA-infected wounds with a protocol combining Oakin dressing with elements of the de-escalation theory. J Wound Care 23: S6-8.

70. Sifri CD, Begun J, Ausubel FM, Calderwood SB (2003) Caenorhabditis elegans as a Model Host for Staphylococcus aureus Pathogenesis. Infect Immun 71: 2208-17.

71. Moy TI, Conery AL, Larkins-Ford J, Wu G, Mazitschek R, et al. (2009) High-throughput screen for novel antimicrobials using a whole animal infection model. ACS Chem. Biol 4: 527-33.

72. Ewbank JJ Zugasti O (2011) C. elegans: model host and tool for antimicrobial drug discovery. Dis Model Mech 4: 300-4.

73. Alegado RA, Campbell MC, Chen WC, Slutz SS, Tan MW (2003) Macro-review Characterization of mediators of microbial virulence and innate immunity using the Caenorhabditis elegans host-pathogen model. Cellular Microbiol 5: 435-44.

74. Merritt JH, Kadouri DE, O'Toole GA (2005) Growing and analyzing static biofilms. Curr Protoc Microbiol 1: Unit 1B.1.

75. Mellbye B, Schuster M (2011) The sociomicrobiology of antivirulence drug resistance: a proof of concept. MBio 2: e00131-11

76. Mahajan-Miklos S, Rahme LG Ausubel FM (2000) Elucidating the molecular mechanisms of bacterial virulence using non-mammalian hosts. Mol Microbiol 37: 981-8.

77. Kurz CL Ewbank JJ (2000) Caenorhabditis elegans for the study of host-pathogen interactions. Trends Microbiol, 8: 142-4.

78. Tan MW, Ausubel FM (2002) Alternative models in microbial pathogens. Method Microbiol 31: 461-75.

79. Aballay A Ausubel FM (2002) Caenorhabditis elegans as a host for the study of host-pathogen interactions. Curr Opin Microbiol 5: 97-101.

80. Wu K, Conly J, McClure JA, Elsayed S, Louie T, et al. (2010) Caenorhabditis elegans as a host model for community-associated methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus. Clin Microbiol Infect 16: 245-54.

81. Mallo GV, Kurz CL, Couillault C, Pujol N, Granjeaud S, et al (2002) Inducible antibacterial defense system in C. elegans. Curr Biol 12: 1209-14.

82. Sifri CD, Begun J, Ausubel, FM, Calderwood SB (2003) Caenorhabditis elegans as a model host for Staphylococcus aureus pathogenesis. Infect Immun 71: 2208-17.

83. Garsin DA, Villanueva JM, Begun J, Kim DH, Sifri CD, et al. (2003) Long-lived C.elegans daf-2 mutants are resistant to bacterial pathogens. Science 300: 1921.

84. Troemel ER, Chu SW, Reinke V, Lee SS, Ausubel FM, et al. (2006) p38 MAPK regulates expression of immune response genes and contribute to longevity in C. elegans. PLoS Genet 2: e183.

85. Irazoqui JE, Troemel ER, Feinbaum RL, Luhachack LG, Cezairliyan BO, et al. (2010) Distinct pathogenesis and host responses during infection of C. elegans by P. aeruginosa and S. aureus. PLoS Pathog 6: e1000982.

86. Kim Y, Mylonakis K (2012) Caenorhabditis elegans immune conditioning with the probiotic bacterium Lactobacillus acidophilus strain NCFM enhances grampositive immune responses. Infect Immun 80:2500-8.

87. Lavigne JP, Audibert S, Molinari N, O'Callaghan D, Keriel A (2013) Influence of a high-glucose diet on the sensitivity of Caenorhabditis elegans towards Escherichia coli and Staphylococcus aureus strains. Microbes Infect 15: 540-9.

88. Yun HS, Heo JH, Son SJ, Park MR, Oh S, et al. (2014) Bacillus licheniformis isolated from Korean traditional food sources enhances the resistance of Caenorhabditis elegans to infection by Staphylococcus aureus. J Microbiol Biotechnol 24:1105-8.

89. Son SJ, Park MR2, Ryu SD2, Maburutse BE2, Oh NS, et al. (2016) Short communication: In vivo screening platform for bacteriocins using Caenorhabditis elegans to control mastitis-causing pathogens. J Dairy Sci 99: 8614-8621.

90. Rajamuthiah R, Fuchs BB1, Jayamani E1, Kim Y2, Larkins-Ford J, et al. (2014) Whole animal automated platform for drug discovery against multi-drug resistant Staphylococcus aureus. PLoS ONE 9: e89189.

Submit your next manuscript to Annex Publishers and benefit from:
Easy online submission process
Rapid peer review process
Online article availability soon after acceptance for Publication
Open access: articles available free online
More accessibility of the articles to the readers/researchers within the field
Better discount on subsequent article submission
Submit your manuscript at http://www.annexpublishers.com/paper-submission.php